

cigarettes out of this particular machine and were caught, their liquor license would be pulled for 30 days, that's a terrific penalty, because I know some of these liquor dealers and some of the owners and operators of these establishments. So I would oppose the bill or oppose the amendment at this time.

PRESIDENT MOUL: Thank you, Senator Hefner. Senator Moore.

SENATOR MOORE: Madam President, members, I rise to oppose Senator Chambers' amendment. And I really... I understand Senator Chambers' amendment and what he's trying to say. It's one of those things that as often happens, Senator Chambers brings to us an amendment which, if you read it, and it probably makes some sense, but then when you really think about how it's actually going to be enforced out there, I don't know if it act...still makes some sense. I don't know, simply, if you want to go that far. It's one of those things, obviously, whenever people like myself and Senator Hefner and others stand up and oppose these amendments we're instantly accused of being indifferent to tobacco usage in minors, we instantly will be accused that we're simply owned by the tobacco industry lobby, and that's one of those things that if we oppose you, that's what you're going to accuse us of, and I understand that's the way it has to be, though I disagree that's the actuality of what's going on, because I've said before the reason I take a stand on an issue like this, as with motorcycle helmets, is because I think this is a minority of people out there, whether we like it or not, that choose to smoke, and it's not because the tobacco companies want me to fight these amendments because those people out there, you know, think that they want to keep the government out of their lives the best they can. That's why I continue to oppose these sort of things. I think Senator Chambers, you know, if he could have his wish, and I understand if he was king for a day he would do...he would ban smoking altogether, probably. And I understand that there are many people who would have...not saying Senator Chambers is one, there are many people who have little or no tolerance for smokers whatsoever. And if they could have their way, they'd just as soon banish them to another state or another country. I understand that. But just as prohibition did not work in liquor, in the early 1900's, trying to ban smoking by a legal law is not going to work. I think we've made great strides in this country, and we continue to make great strides why smoking is not a wise thing for the public to do. I think that is the course that we ought to continue on. We ought to continue with